

Trial Design for Testing and Evaluation in Humanitarian Mine Clearance

Dissertation approved by the Faculty of Environmental Sciences and
Process Engineering, Brandenburg Technical University, Cottbus, for a
doctorate degree in engineering sciences

submitted by
graduate engineer
Mate Gaal
from Zagreb, Croatia

Examiner: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Wolfgang Spyra
Examiner: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Heinrich Heidt
Examiner: Prof. Dr. Damir Markučić
Examiner: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Peter-Th. Wilrich

Date of the oral examination: 6 July 2007

Summary

This thesis proposes a design of experiment for testing and evaluation of the equipment and the methods used in manual mine clearance. The thesis is based on several metal detector trials and a trial of manual demining methods.

The core of this dissertation comprises four metal detector trials performed in Germany and Croatia in 2003 and 2005. The purpose of these trials was to investigate the feasibility of the tests described in the CWA (Comité Européen de Normalisation /CEN/ Workshop Agreement) 14747:-2003, the standard for testing metal detectors for humanitarian demining. The goals of the trials were: to find an appropriate design of experiment for testing metal detectors; to establish the use of ROC diagrams (Receiver Operating Characteristics) and POD curves (Probability of Detection) in the analysis of the experimental results; and to gain practical experience in organising and conducting metal detector trials. A part of this thesis is devoted to a trial of manual demining methods performed in Mozambique in 2004. The main goal of that trial was to compare the speed of various manual demining methods, including the most common excavation methods. The outcome of this work are the proposals and recommendations for an update of the standard for testing metal detectors CWA 14747:2003.

Maximum detection height measurements were performed as a part of the metal detector trial carried out in Croatia in 2005. The results reveal a high variability of the maximum detection height. This high variability needs to be taken into account in all experiments. A part of the variability is caused by the differences between the operators and by the setup of the metal detector. It is therefore recommended that two kinds of experiments with the maximum detection height as a response variable are defined in the next update of CWA 14747:2003. The first kind should include the setup, the soil and the operator as factors in the design of experiment. The in-soil measurements with the same detector should be performed with repeated setups and with several operators. The second kind of experiments should be experiments evaluating the influence of other predictor variables. In those experiments, it is recommended to perform one-factor or multiple-factor in-air measurements with the operators and the setup as a block.

The main part of the metal detector trials described in this thesis were

the detection reliability tests. Detection reliability tests as described in CWA 14747:2003 come closest to representing the real field conditions in demining. They include many environmental influences and, most importantly, many of the human factor influences. However, each test design is a compromise between fully representative conditions and cost effectiveness. In this thesis, a fractional factorial design based on the Graeco-Latin square is proposed as a solution to the experimental problem. The results are reported in the form of ROC diagrams and POD curves. The crossover design enables each operator to work with fewer detector models within a certain time. The variations of the design enabled an unbiased comparison of detectors in each soil and with each target model separately. It is recommended that the solutions proposed in this thesis be incorporated in the standard CWA 14747:2003.

It has been shown that maximum detection height measurements provide the information about the best possible performance of a metal detector in a reliability test.

Contents

1	Introduction	18
2	Landmines and Humanitarian Demining	21
2.1	Landmines	22
2.2	Mine Action and Mine Situation	23
2.3	Instruments of International Law Addressing the Problem of Landmines	25
2.4	Clearance and Detection Methods in Humanitarian Demining	27
2.5	New Technologies in Humanitarian Demining	29
3	Metal Detectors in Humanitarian Demining	31
3.1	Physical Principles of Electromagnetic Induction	31
3.2	Technical Properties of Metal Detectors	34
3.2.1	Coil Configurations	35
3.2.2	Audio Signals	35
3.2.3	Frequency Domain and Time Domain	36
3.2.4	Electromagnetic Interference	36
3.2.5	Ground Compensation	37
3.2.6	Possible Technological Improvements	38
3.3	Use of Metal Detectors	38
3.4	Reliability Model	40
4	Testing and Evaluation of Metal Detectors	41
4.1	Purpose of Testing Metal Detectors	41
4.2	CEN Workshop Agreement CWA 14747:2003	42
4.2.1	Maximum Detection Height Measurements	43
4.2.2	Detection Reliability Tests	43
4.3	Metal Detector Trials Performed up to the Present	44
5	Design of Experiment	47
5.1	Basic Principles	47
5.1.1	Replication, Randomisation and Blocking	48
5.1.2	Guidelines for Designing Experiments	49
5.2	Randomised Blocks, Latin Squares and Graeco-Latin Squares	50

5.2.1	Randomised Complete Block Design	50
5.2.2	Latin Square Design	55
5.2.3	Graeco-Latin Square Design	56
6	Comparative Trial of Manual Mine Clearance Methods	58
6.1	Introduction	58
6.2	Results	59
6.3	Discussion	60
6.4	Conclusions	63
7	Maximum Detection Height Measurements	65
7.1	Introduction	65
7.2	Design of Experiment	66
7.3	Data Analysis	68
7.3.1	Comparison of Detectors	68
7.3.2	Comparison between PMA-2 and PMA-S	72
7.4	Results	73
7.5	Discussion	76
7.5.1	Variability of Maximum Detection Height Measurements	76
7.5.2	In-Air Measurement Procedure	77
7.5.3	Comparison between PMA-2 and PMA-S	78
7.5.4	Choosing the Most Appropriate Detector	78
7.6	Conclusions	78
8	Detection Reliability Tests	79
8.1	Overview of Detection Reliability Tests Performed in Ober- jettenberg and Benkovac	79
8.2	Design of Experiment and Data Analysis	84
8.2.1	Design of Experiment	84
8.2.2	Data Analysis	85
8.3	Reliability Tests, Oberjettenberg, May 2003	89
8.3.1	Introduction	89
8.3.2	Design of Experiment	90
8.3.3	Results	90
8.3.4	Discussion	93
8.4	Reliability Tests, Benkovac, July 2003	96
8.4.1	Introduction	96
8.4.2	Design of Experiment	97
8.4.3	Results	97
8.4.4	Discussion	103
8.5	Reliability Tests, Oberjettenberg, November 2003	105
8.5.1	Introduction	105
8.5.2	Design of Experiment	107
8.5.3	Results	107

8.5.4	Discussion	113
8.6	Reliability Tests, Benkovac, May 2005	113
8.6.1	Introduction	113
8.6.2	Design of Experiment	115
8.6.3	Results	115
8.6.4	Discussion	119
8.7	Connection between Maximum Detection Height Measurements and Reliability Tests	122
8.8	Discussion	129
8.8.1	Representative Conditions in Tests	129
8.8.2	Soils and Targets	129
8.8.3	Target Depths	130
8.8.4	Human Factor	132
8.8.5	Improvements of Experimental Design	135
8.8.6	Maximum Detection Height and Reliability Tests . . .	135
8.9	Conclusions	136
9	Proposals for Update of CWA 14747:2003	138
9.1	Maximum Detection Height Measurements	138
9.1.1	Uncertainty of Maximum Detection Height Measurements	138
9.1.2	Layout of Test Area and Execution of Measurements .	142
9.2	Detection Reliability Tests	142
9.2.1	Statistical Design of Experiment	142
9.2.2	Data Analysis and Reporting	143
9.2.3	Choice of Targets	143
9.2.4	Target Layout	144
9.2.5	Target Depths	144
9.2.6	Operators	145
9.3	Conclusions	145
10	Conclusions	148